

New Routing for Balanced Energy Consumption in Mobile Ad Hoc Networks

S.-M. Senouci

France Telecom R&D

2 Avenue Pierre Marzin, 22307, Lannion, France

sidimohammed.senouci@francetelecom.com

M. Naimi

Department of Computer Science LICP EA 2175

University of Cergy-Pontoise, France

Mohamed.Naimi@dept-info.u-cergy.fr

ABSTRACT

Energy conservation is a critical issue in ad hoc wireless networks for node and network life, as only batteries power nodes. This issue is crucial in the design of new routing protocols since each host acts also as a router. To design such protocols, we have to look away from the traditional minimum hop routing schemes. In this paper, we propose three extensions to the shortest-path routing algorithm, AODV. The discovery mechanism in these algorithms (LEAR-AODV, PAR-AODV, and LPR-AODV) uses energy consumption as a routing metric. These algorithms reduce the nodes energy consumption by routing packets using energy-optimal routes.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

C.2.2 [Computer Communication Networks]: Network Protocols.

General Terms

Algorithms, Performance, Reliability.

Keywords

Mobile Ad Hoc Networks (MANET), Balanced Energy Consumption, Ad Hoc Routing.

1. INTRODUCTION

The increasing progress of wireless local area networks (WLAN), has opened new horizons in the field of telecommunications. Among the various network architectures, the design of mobile ad hoc network (MANET) has attracted a lot of attention [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], and [7]. A MANET is composed of a set of mobile hosts that can communicate with one another. No base stations are supported in such an environment, and mobile hosts communicate in a multi-hop fashion. Such networks are needed in situations where temporary network connectivity is required, such as in battlefields, disaster areas, and meetings, because of their capability of handling node failures and fast topology changes. A set of ad hoc routing protocols has been proposed in the IETFs MANET [1] group to ensure the network connectivity. They

operate in either proactive or reactive modes.

Building such routing algorithms poses a significant technical challenge, since the devices are battery operated. The devices need to be energy conserving so that battery life is maximized. The shortest path is the most common criteria adopted by the conventional routing protocols proposed in the MANET Working Group. The problem is that nodes along shortest paths may be used more often and exhaust their batteries faster. The consequence is that the network may become disconnected leaving disparity in the energy, and eventually disconnected sub-networks. Therefore, the shortest path is not the most suitable metric to be adopted by a routing decision. Other metrics that take the power constraint into consideration for choosing the appropriate route are more useful in some scenarios (e.g. sensor networks).

In this paper, we propose three energy efficient routing algorithms (LEAR-AODV, PAR-AODV, and LPR-AODV) that reduce energy consumption, and lead to a longer battery life at the terminals. They are based on one of the most important routing protocols, AODV (Ad hoc On Demand Distance Vector) [2].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: the section 2 presents three different energy efficient routing algorithms. We study performance evaluation and numerical results in section 3. Finally, section 4 summarizes our main contributions.

2. ENERGY EFFICIENT ROUTING ALGORITHMS

This section, presents the new energy efficient routing algorithms. They are designed to increase the network survivability by maintaining the network connectivity, and to lead to a longer battery life of the terminals. This is in contrast to AODV, which does not consider power but optimizes routing for lowest delay. The protocols (LEAR-AODV, PARAODV, and LPR-AODV) ensure the survivability of the network by establishing routes that ensure that all nodes equally deplete their battery power. They are reactive protocols, and are based on the AODV routing protocol described below.

2.1 AODV Protocol

AODV routing protocol is a reactive routing algorithm. It maintains the established routes as long as they are needed by the sources. AODV uses sequence numbers to ensure the freshness of routes.

Route Discovery The route discovery process is initiated whenever a traffic source needs a route to a destination. Route discovery typically involves a network-wide flood of route request (RREQ) packets targeting the destination and waiting for a route reply (RREP).

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

PE-WASUN'05, October 10-13, 2005, Montreal, Quebec, Canada..

Copyright 2004 ACM 1-59593-182-1/05/0010...\$5.00.

- When a node receives a RREQ packet for the first time, it sets up a reverse path to the source. If a valid route to the destination is available, then, it sends a RREP to the source on the reverse path; otherwise, it broadcasts it to the other nodes.
- When the destination receives a RREQ, it sends a RREP to the source via the reverse path.

Route Maintenance Route maintenance is done using route error (RERR) packets. When a link failure is detected, a RERR is sent back via separately maintained predecessor links to all sources using that failed link. Routes are erased by the RERR along its way. When a traffic source receives a RERR, it initiates a new route discovery, if the route is still needed.

2.2 Local Energy-Aware Routing based on AODV (LEAR-AODV)

We present the first on-demand routing protocol LEAR-AODV (Local Energy-Aware Routing based on AODV). We use a similar mechanism to that used in [2], where the authors propose to extend the DSR (Dynamic Source Routing) [4] protocol. In their approach, each mobile node relies on local information about the remaining battery level to decide whether to participate in the selection process of a routing path or not. An energy-hungry node can conserve its battery power by not forwarding data packets on behalf of others. The decision-making process in LEAR-AODV is distributed to all relevant nodes.

Route Discovery In AODV, each mobile node has no choice and must forward packets for other nodes. In LEAR-AODV, each node determines whether or not to accept and forward the RREQ message depending on its remaining battery power (E_r). When it is lower than a threshold value θ ($E_r \leq \theta$), the RREQ is dropped; otherwise, the message is forwarded. The destination will receive a route request message only when all intermediate nodes along the route have enough battery levels.

Route Maintenance Route Maintenance is needed either when the connections between some nodes on the path are lost due to node mobility, or when the energy resources of some nodes on the path are depleting too quickly. In the first case, and as in AODV, a new RREQ is sent out and the entry in the route table corresponding to the node that has moved out of range is purged. In the second case, the node sends a route error RERR back to the source even when the condition $E_r \leq \theta$ is satisfied. This route error message forces the source to initiate route discovery again. This is a local decision since it is dependent only on the remaining battery capacity of the current node.

However, if this decision is made for every possible route, the source will not receive a RREP message, even, if there exists a route between the source and the destination. To avoid this situation, the source will send another RREQ message with an increased sequence number.

When an intermediate node receives this new request, it lowers its θ by d to allow the packet forwarding to continue. We use a new control message, ADJUST_Thr. When a node drops a RREQ message, it instead broadcasts an ADJUST_Thr message. The subsequent nodes closer to the destination now know that a request message was dropped and lower their threshold values. Now, the second route request message can now reach the destination. When the destination receives a RREQ, it generates a RREP, and sends to the source it via the reverse path.

2.3 Power-Aware Routing based on AODV (PAR-AODV)

This section presents the second on-demand routing protocol PAR-AODV (Power-Aware Routing based on AODV). PAR-AODV solves the problem of finding a route π , at route discovery time t , such that the following cost function [6] is minimized:

$$C(\pi, t) = \sum_{i \in \pi} C_i(t), \quad (1)$$

$$C_i(t) = \rho_i \left(\frac{F_i}{E_i(t)} \right)^\alpha \quad (2)$$

Where ρ_i is the transmit power of node i , F_i is the full-charge battery capacity of node i , $E_i(t)$ is the remaining battery capacity of node i at time t , and α is a positive weighting factor.

Route Discovery In PAR-AODV, activity begins with the source node flooding the network with RREQ packets when it has data to send. All nodes except the source and the destination calculate their link cost, C_i , using (2), and add it to the path cost in the header of the RREQ packet (cf. equation (1)). When the destination node receives a RREQ packet, it sends a RREP packet to the source. When an intermediate node receives a RREQ packet, it keeps the cost in the header of that packet as Min-Cost. If additional RREQs arrive with the same destination and sequence number, the cost of the newly arrived RREQ packet is compared to the Min-Cost. Three cases are possible:

- if the new packet has a lower cost and if the intermediate node does not know any valid route to the destination, Min-Cost is changed to this new value and the new RREQ packet is re-broadcast;
- if the new packet has a lower cost but the intermediate node knows a route to the destination, the node forwards (unicast) a COMPUTE_Cost message. The COMPUTE_Cost calculates this route cost;
- otherwise, if the new packet has a greater cost, the new RREQ packet is dropped.

When the destination receives either a RREQ or a COMPUTE_Cost message, it generates a RREP message. The RREP is routed back to the source via the reverse path. This reply message contains the cost of the selected path. The source node will select the route with the minimum cost.

Route Maintenance The route maintenance in PAR-AODV is the same as in LEAR-AODV. Hence, in PAR-AODV, when any intermediate node has a lower battery level than its threshold value ($E_r \leq \theta$), any request is simply dropped.

2.4 Lifetime Prediction Routing based on AODV (LPR-AODV)

We present the last on-demand routing protocol LPR-AODV (Lifetime Prediction Routing based on AODV). This protocol favors the route with maximum lifetime, i.e. the route that does not contain nodes with a weak predicted lifetime. LPR-AODV solves the problem of finding a route π at route discovery time t , such that the following cost function is maximized:

$$\text{Max}_{\pi} \left(T_{\pi}(t) \right) = \text{Max}_{\pi} \left(\text{Min}_{i \in \pi} \left(T_i(t) \right) \right) \quad (3)$$

Where $T_{\pi}(t)$ is the lifetime of path π , and $T_i(t)$ is the predicted lifetime of node i in path π .

LPR-AODV uses battery lifetime prediction. Each node tries to estimate its battery lifetime based on its past activity. This is achieved using a recent history of node activity. When node i sends a data packet, it keeps track of the residual energy value ($E_i(t)$) and the corresponding time instance (t). This information is recorded and stored in the node. After N packets sent/forwarded, node i gets the time instance when the N^{th} packet is sent/forwarded (t') and the corresponding residual energy value ($E_i(t')$). This recent history, $((t, E_i(t)), (t', E_i(t')))$, is a good indicator of the traffic crossing the node. Hence, we use it for lifetime prediction. Our approach is a dynamic distributed load balancing approach that avoids power-congested nodes and chooses paths that are lightly loaded.

Route Discovery In LPR-AODV, all nodes except the destination and the source calculate their predicted lifetime, T_i , using (4). In each request, there is another field representing the minimum lifetime (Min-lifetime) of the route. A node i in the route replaces the Min-lifetime in the header with T_i if T_i is lower than the existing Min-lifetime value in the header.

$$T_i(t) = \frac{E_i(t)}{\text{discharge_rate}_i(t)} \quad (4)$$

where $\text{discharge_rate}_i(t) = \frac{E_i(t') - E_i(t)}{t - t'}$ and,

$E_i(t)$ is the remaining energy of node i at time t . t is the current time corresponding to the moment when the node i sends/forwards the current packet; and t' is the recorded time instance corresponding to the moment when the N^{th} 'predecessor' to current packet was sent/forwarded by node i . More precisely, when an intermediate node receives the first RREQ packet, it keeps the Min-lifetime in the header of that packet as Min-Lifetime. If additional RREQs arrive with the same destination and sequence number, the Min-lifetime of the newly arrived RREQ packet is compared to the Min-lifetime. Three cases are possible:

- if the new packet has a greater Min-lifetime and if the intermediate node does not know any valid route to the destination, Min-lifetime is changed to this new value and the new RREQ packet is re-broadcast;
- if the new packet has a greater Min-lifetime but the intermediate node knows a route to the destination, the node forwards (unicast) a COMPUTE_lifetime message. The COMPUTE_lifetime calculates this route lifetime;
- otherwise, if the new packet has a lower Min-lifetime, the new RREQ packet is dropped.

When the destination receives either a RREQ or a COMPUTE_lifetime message, it generates a RREP message to the source via the reverse path. This reply message contains the lifetime of the selected path. The source node will select the route with the maximum lifetime.

Route Maintenance As in the first algorithms, route maintenance is needed either when a node becomes out of direct range of a sending node or there is a change in its predicted lifetime. In the first case (node mobility), the mechanism is the same as in AODV. In the second case, the node sends a route error RERR back to the source even when the

predicted lifetime goes below a threshold level δ ($T_i(t) \leq \delta$). This route error message forces the source to initiate route discovery again. This decision depends only on the remaining battery capacity of the current node and its discharge rate. Hence, it is a local decision. However, the same problem as in LEAR-AODV can occur. If the condition $T_i(t) \leq \delta$ is satisfied for all the nodes, the source will not receive a single reply message even though there exists a path between the source and the destination. To prevent this, we use the same mechanisms used in LEAR-AODV described in section 2.2.

3. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The performances of our algorithms are evaluated using GloMoSim 2.0 simulator [8]. The simulation consists of a network of 36 nodes confined in a 800x800 m² area. Random connections were established using CBR traffic (at 4 packets/second with a packet size of 1024 bytes). The initial battery capacity of each node is 10 units. This initial energy is progressively reduced by data transmission/reception. When it reaches zero units, the corresponding node cannot take part any more in the communication, and is regarded as died. Each node has a radio propagation range of 250 meters and channel capacity was 2 Mb/s. We consider the simple case when the transmit power is fixed. Network lifetimes of our algorithms are compared for different scenarios. They are often compared to AODV since they are derived from it.

Two situations were considered: the nodes are fixed, and the nodes are mobile and move with various velocities.

3.1 Fixed Nodes

Figure 1 shows the time instances at which certain number of nodes has died because of their batteries depletion, when all the nodes are fixed. We note that for AODV, the first node dies approximately 2056 seconds earlier than in LEAR-AODV, 2572 seconds earlier than in PAR-AODV, and 3244 seconds earlier than in LPR-AODV. Similarly, and for 4 nodes, those die approximately 888 seconds earlier than in LEAR-AODV, 1132 seconds earlier than in PAR-AODV, and 1832 seconds earlier than in LPR-AODV. LPR-AODV is better than PAR-AODV since LPR-AODV takes into account not only the residual battery capacity, but also the rate of energy discharge. In LEAR-AODV, the nodes consume energy more equitably. Thus, the nodes in the center of the network continue to maintain the network connectivity as long as possible, and the network will not be partitioned rapidly. On the other hand, for AODV, the energy level of the nodes in the center is largely lower than the half of the initial energy level.




Figure 1. The number of dead nodes versus time.

3.2 Mobile Nodes

The effect of mobility is shown in Figure 2. We note that for AODV, and for a node velocity equal to 4 meters/second for example, the first node dies approximately 793 seconds earlier than in LEAR-AODV, 1125 seconds earlier than in PAR-AODV, and 1182 seconds earlier than in LPR-AODV. However, as the velocity of the node movement increases,

rate of energy consumption in the network goes up. This is normal since higher velocity of movement implies more route discoveries being performed and as a consequence higher energy consumption in the network. Also, as the node mobility increases, the difference between AODV and our algorithms decreases. Because there are more route discoveries, no paths are overused even by AODV. As a consequence, AODV also achieves load balancing to an extent decreasing the gain seen by our algorithms.




Figure 2. Number of dead nodes with a velocity of (a) 1m/s (b) 4m/s (c) 8m/s.

In these algorithms, route discovery process needs more control packets to be propagated in the network. To show the overhead of our algorithms, we have measured the ratio of the size of all the control packets to the size of all data packets delivered in the network. Figure 3 shows this ratio for our algorithms for different velocities of node movement, with a simulation time of 6000 seconds. As the velocity of movement increases, routes are valid for shorter time and more route discoveries are done in the network resulting in more control packets and more the difference between the algorithms.




Figure 3. Bytes overhead as function of velocity of node movement.

4. CONCLUSION

Battery power is a limited resource, and it is expected that battery technology is not likely to progress as fast as computing and communication technologies do. Hence, how to lengthen the lifetime of batteries is an important issue, especially for MANET, which is all supported by batteries. In conventional routing algorithms, which are unaware of energy budget, connections between two nodes are established through the shortest routes. These algorithms may however result in a quick depletion of the battery energy of the nodes along the most heavily used routes in the network.

In this paper, we design new power-aware routing protocols (LEAR-AODV, PAR-AODV, and LPR-AODV) that balance the traffic load inside the network so as to increase the battery lifetime of the nodes and hence the overall useful life of the ad hoc network.

Simulation results show that our algorithms increase clearly network lifetime. Another important advantage of these algorithms is their simplicity and the fact that they do not affect other layers of wireless communication protocols.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] IETF MANET WG (Mobile Ad hoc NETWORK), www.ietf.org/html.charters/manet-charter.html.
- [2] C. E. Perkins, E. M. Belding-Royer, and S. R. Das, "Ad hoc On-Demand Distance Vector (AODV) Routing", *IETF Internet Draft*, draft-ietf-manet-aodv-13.txt.
- [3] L. Ouakil, S. Senouci, and G. Pujolle, "Performance Comparison of Ad Hoc Routing Protocols Based on Energy Consumption", *Ambience Workshop 2002*, Torino, Italy, September 2002.
- [4] D. B. Johnson, D. A. Maltz, Y.-C. Hu, "The Dynamic Source Routing Protocol for Mobile Ad Hoc Networks (DSR)", *IETF Internet Draft*, draft-ietf-manet-dsr-09.txt.
- [5] K. Woo, C. Yu, D. Lee, H. Y. Youn, and Ben Lee, "Non-Blocking, Localized Routing Algorithm for Balanced Energy Consumption in Mobile Ad Hoc Networks", *MASCOTS'01*, Cincinnati, Ohio, August 15-18, pp. 117-124, 2001.
- [6] M. Maleki, K. Dantu, and M. Pedram, "Power-aware Source Routing in mobile ad hoc networks", *Proceedings of ISLPED '02*, Monterey, CA, pp. 72-75, August 12-14, 2002.
- [7] M. Maleki, K. Dantu, and M. Pedram, "Lifetime Prediction Routing in Mobile Ad Hoc Networks", *IEEE Wireless Communication and Networking Conf.*, Mars, 2003.
- [8] X. Zeng, R. Bagrodia, and M. Gerla, "GloMoSim: a Library for Parallel Simulation of Large-scale Wireless Networks", *PADS'98*, Banff, Alberta, Canada, May 26-29, 1998.